

Modified wave operators for discrete Schrödinger operators with long-range perturbations

Shu Nakamura*

March 13, 2014

Abstract

We consider the scattering theory for discrete Schrödinger operators on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with long-range potentials. We prove the existence of modified wave operators constructed in terms of solutions of a Hamilton-Jacobi equation on the torus $\mathbb{T}^d$.

1 Introduction

We consider the discrete Schrödinger operator

$$Hu[n] = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta u[n] + V[n]u[n], \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^d,$$

for $u \in \mathcal{H} = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, where

$$\Delta u[n] = \sum_{|m-n|=1} u[m],$$

and V is a real-valued function on $\mathbb{Z}^d$. We denote discrete variables using the square braces $[\cdot]$, and continuous variables using the round braces $(\cdot)$. If V is bounded, H is a bounded self-adjoint operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$.

The discrete Schrödinger operator H has many common properties as the continuous Schrödinger operator on $\mathbb{R}^d$. For example, if V is short-range type, i.e.,

$$|V[n]| \leq C(1 + |n|)^{-\mu}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^d,$$

with some $\mu > 1$ and $C > 0$, then the scattering theory is constructed in the standard way. Namely, by setting $H_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta$, we can show the wave operators

$$W_{\pm} = \text{s-lim}_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{itH} e^{-itH_0}$$

*Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, 3-8-1, Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo, 153-8914 Japan. E-mail: shu@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp. The research was partially supported by JSPS Grant Kiban (A) 21244008.

exist; it is an isometry into $\mathcal{H}_{ac}(H)$, the absolutely continuous subspace of H ; the intertwining property: $HW_{\pm} = W_{\pm}H_0$ holds; moreover they are asymptotically complete: $\text{Ran } W_{\pm} = \mathcal{H}_{ac}(H)$ (see, e.g, Boutet de Monvel, Sahbani [1], Isozaki, Korotyaev [7] and references therein).

We will consider the long-range case, i.e., when $0 < \mu \leq 1$. If V is long-range type, the wave operators do not exist in general, and we need to introduce *modified* wave operators. In order to state our main result, we introduce several notations.

Following the standard notation, we denote $W \in S^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$, if $W \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and for any multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ there is $C_\alpha > 0$ such that

$$|\partial_x^\alpha W(x)| \leq C_\alpha \langle x \rangle^{m-|\alpha|}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $\langle x \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}$. We note that when we consider scattering theory for (continuous) Schrödinger operators, $V \in S^{-\mu}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\mu > 0$ is a standard assumption. A natural analogue for the discrete case is the following. We denote

$$\tilde{\partial}_j u[n] = u[n] - u[n - e_j], \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^d, j = 1, \dots, d,$$

where $\{e_j\}$ is the standard orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^d$, and $u[\cdot]$ is a function on $\mathbb{Z}^d$. We denote $\tilde{\partial}^\alpha = \prod_{j=1}^d \tilde{\partial}_j^{\alpha_j}$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ as usual.

Definition 1.1. Let V be a function on $\mathbb{Z}^d$, and let $m \in \mathbb{R}$. We denote $V \in S^m(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ if for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ there is $C_\alpha > 0$ such that

$$|\tilde{\partial}^\alpha V[n]| \leq C_\alpha \langle n \rangle^{m-|\alpha|}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

We suppose $V \in S^{-\mu}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ with $\mu > 0$. Then, the essential spectrum on H is $[-d, d]$, and we are interested in the structure of the spectrum of H in $[-d, d]$.

In the next section, we show that we can extend $V \in S^m(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ to an element in $S^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We denote $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})$. Then we may consider the classical mechanics generated by $p(x, \xi)$, the symbol of H on $T^*\mathbb{T}^d \cong \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^d$, that is

$$p(x, \xi) = \sum_{j=1}^d \cos(\xi_j) + V(x) \quad (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^d.$$

We denote the set of threshold energies by $\mathcal{T} = \{-d, -d+2, \dots, d-2, d\}$. For a given interval $I \Subset [-d, d] \setminus \mathcal{T}$, we can find solutions to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation on $\mathbb{T}^d$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi_{\pm}(t, \xi) = p(\partial_{\xi} \Phi_{\pm}(t, \xi), \xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{T}^d, \pm t \geq 0, p_0(\xi) \in I,$$

such that

$$|\Phi_{\pm}(t, \xi) - tp_0(\xi)| = O(|t|^{1-\mu})$$

as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$, where $p_0(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^d \cos(\xi_j)$.

We denote the discrete Fourier transform by F , i.e.,

$$Fu(\xi) := (2\pi)^{-d/2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-in \cdot \xi} u[n], \quad \xi \in \mathbb{T}^d = (\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})^d.$$

We note F is a unitary map from $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$. For a function $f(\xi)$ on $\mathbb{T}^d$, we denote

$$f(D_x)u = F^*(f(\xi)(Fu)(\xi)), \quad u \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d).$$

Using these, we can state our main result:

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $V \in S^{-\mu}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ with $\mu > 0$. Let $I \Subset [-d, d] \setminus \mathcal{T}$, and let $\Phi_{\pm}(t, \xi)$ be as above (or as constructed in Section 4). Then the modified wave operators*

$$W_{\pm}^{\Phi}(I) = \text{s-lim}_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{itH} e^{-i\Phi(t, D_x)} E_I(H_0)$$

exist and they are isometry from $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ to $\mathcal{H}_{ac}(H)$. Moreover, the intertwining property:

$$HW_{\pm}^{\Phi}(I) = W_{\pm}^{\Phi}(I)H_0$$

holds.

We expect the asymptotic completeness: $\text{Ran } W_{\pm}^{\Phi}(I) = \mathcal{H}_{ac}(H)$ holds, though we do not discuss it in this paper.

The long-range scattering theory for Schrödinger equation has long history, starting from the pioneering work by Dollard [4]. We refer Reed-Simon [9] §XI.9, Yafaev [10] Chapter 10, Derezinski-Gérard [3] §4.7, and references therein. We follow the argument of Hörmander [5] in this paper to construct modified wave operators.

We prepare a simple extension lemma in Section 2. We discuss the classical mechanics on $\mathbb{T}^d$ in Section 3, and solutions to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation are constructed in Section 4. We prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 5.

2 Preliminaries

Here we construct an extension of $V \in S^m(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ to a smooth function $\tilde{V}(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose $V[\cdot] \in S^m(\mathbb{Z}^d)$. Then there is $\tilde{V} \in S^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that it is real-valued and $\tilde{V}(n) = V[n]$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.*

Proof. We interpolate $V[n]$ using a *window function* (see, e.g., Oppenheim-Schafer-Buck [8] §7.2). Let $\hat{\chi}_0 \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

- (i) $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \hat{\chi}_0(\xi + 2\pi n) = 1, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$
- (ii) $\text{supp } \hat{\chi}_0(\xi) \subset [-\frac{3}{2}\pi, \frac{3}{2}\pi]^d.$
- (iii) $\hat{\chi}_0$ is even, and $\hat{\chi}_0(\xi) \geq 0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$

Such $\hat{\chi}_0$ is called a window function. Let χ_0 be the inverse Fourier transform of $\hat{\chi}_0$, and we set

$$\tilde{V}(x) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \chi_0(x - n)V[n], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Since $\chi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is real-valued by (iii) and $V[n] = O(\langle n \rangle^m)$, it is easy to see $\tilde{V}$ is a smooth real-valued function on $\mathbb{R}^d$. On the other hand, if $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_0(k) &= (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int e^{ik \cdot x} \chi_0(\xi) d\xi \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} e^{ik \cdot x} d\xi = (2\pi)^{d/2} \delta_{k0}, \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the property (i). This implies $\tilde{V}(n) = V[n]$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.

We now show $\tilde{V} \in S^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$. It is easy to see $|\tilde{V}(x)| \leq C\langle x \rangle^m$ since $\chi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $V[n] = O(\langle n \rangle^m)$ as $|n| \rightarrow \infty$.

We note $Fu = \mathcal{F}(\sum_n \delta(x - n)u[n])$, where F is the discrete Fourier transform and $\mathcal{F}$ is the standard Fourier transform. We set

$$\hat{V}(\xi) = FV(\xi) \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{T}^d).$$

We note the Fourier transform of $\tilde{V}(\cdot)$ is given by $(\mathcal{F}\tilde{V})(\xi) = \hat{\chi}_0(\xi)\hat{V}(\xi)$. We also note

$$\begin{aligned} F(\tilde{\partial}_j V)(\xi) &= (1 - e^{-i\xi_j})\hat{V}(\xi) = 2ie^{-i\xi_j/2} \sin(\xi_j/2)\hat{V}(\xi), \\ \mathcal{F}(\partial_j \tilde{V})(\xi) &= i\xi_j \hat{\chi}_0(\xi)\hat{V}(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\hat{\chi}_0$ is supported in $[-\frac{3}{2}\pi, \frac{3}{2}\pi]^d$, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\partial_j \tilde{V})(\xi) &= \left[\frac{e^{i\xi_j/2} \xi_j}{2 \sin(\xi_j/2)} \hat{\chi}_0(\xi) \right] F(\tilde{\partial}_j V)(\xi) \\ &= \hat{\chi}_j(\xi) F(\tilde{\partial}_j V)(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

with $\hat{\chi}_j \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Thus we learn

$$\partial_j \tilde{V}(x) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \chi_j(x - n) \tilde{\partial}_j V[n],$$

where $\chi_j = \mathcal{F}^* \hat{\chi}_j$. Since $\tilde{\partial}_j V[n] = O(\langle n \rangle^{m-1})$ as $|n| \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\partial_j \tilde{V}(x) = O(\langle x \rangle^{m-1})$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Repeating this procedure, we conclude $\tilde{V} \in S^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$. $\square$

3 Classical mechanics

In the following we suppose $V \in S^{-\mu}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ with $\mu > 0$. Let $\tilde{V}(x) \in S^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be an extension of $V[n]$, and we write $\tilde{V}(x) = V(x)$ for simplicity. The existence of such $\tilde{V}$ is shown in Lemma 2.1, but it is not unique, and we may choose different extension. For example, if $V[n] = c\langle n \rangle^{-\mu}$, then it is natural to choose $\tilde{V}(x) = c\langle x \rangle^{-\mu}$, which is different from the extension in Lemma 2.1.

We now construct a classical mechanics on $T^*\mathbb{T}^d$ corresponding to the discrete Schrödinger operator. We write the symbols of H and H_0 by

$$p(x, \xi) = p_0(\xi) + V(x), \quad p_0(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^d \cos(\xi_j)$$

for $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^d \cong T^*\mathbb{T}^d$, respectively. It is easy to see

$$H_0 u = F^*(p_0(\xi)(Fu)(\xi)) \quad \text{for } u \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d).$$

We consider the solutions to the Hamilton equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}x_j(t) = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_j}(x, \xi) = \sin(\xi_j), \quad \frac{d}{dt}\xi_j(t) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j}(x, \xi) = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_j}(x),$$

with an initial condition $(x(0), \xi(0)) = (x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^d$. In this section, we study long-time behavior of the solution.

Let $\mathcal{T} = \{-d, -d+2, \dots, d-2, d\}$ be the set of threshold energies for $p_0(\xi)$. Note

$$\begin{aligned} \sin(\xi_j) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, d &\iff \xi_j \in \pi\mathbb{Z}, \quad j = 1, \dots, d \\ &\implies p_0(\xi) \in \mathcal{T}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote the velocity by

$$v(\xi) = (\sin(\xi_1), \dots, \sin(\xi_d)) \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{T}^d.$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}|x(t)|^2 &= 2 \sum_{j=1}^d v_j(\xi(t))x_j(t), \\ \frac{d^2}{dt^2}|x(t)|^2 &= 2 \sum_{j=1}^d v_j(\xi(t))^2 - 2 \sum_{j=1}^d x_j(t) \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_j}(x(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

We write

$$k(\xi) = |v(\xi)|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^d (\sin(\xi_j))^2.$$

We note $k(\xi) > 0$ if $p_0(\xi) \notin \mathcal{T}$. For $I \subset (-d, d)$, we set

$$\Omega_{\pm}(I, R) = \{(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^d \mid p(x, \xi) \in I, |x| \geq R, \pm x \cdot v(\xi) \geq 0\}.$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let $I \Subset [-d, d] \setminus \mathcal{T}$ and $\Omega_{\pm}(I, R)$ be as above. Then there are $R_0 > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$|x(t)| \geq \sqrt{R^2 + \delta t^2} \quad \text{for } \pm t \geq 0,$$

if the initial condition $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \Omega_{\pm}(I, R)$ with $R \geq R_0$.

Proof. We choose $\delta > 0$ so that

$$(I + [-\delta, \delta]) \cap \mathcal{T} = \emptyset, \quad \inf \{k(\xi) \mid p_0(\xi) \in I + [-\delta, \delta]\} \geq 2\delta.$$

We also choose $R_0 > 0$ so that

$$|V(x)| \leq \delta, \quad |x \cdot \nabla V(x)| \leq \delta \quad \text{if } |x| \geq R_0.$$

If $|x| \geq R_0$ and $p(x, \xi) \in I$, then $p_0(x, \xi) \in I + [-\delta, \delta]$, and hence $k(\xi) \geq 2\delta$. By virtue of (3.1), we then learn

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} |x(t)|^2 = 2k(\xi) - 2x \cdot \nabla V(x) \geq 2\delta$$

for such (x, ξ) . If $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \Omega_{\pm}(I, R)$ then $\pm \frac{d}{dt} |x(t)|^2 \geq 0$ at $t = 0$, and hence

$$|x(t)|^2 \geq |x_0|^2 + \delta t^2 \quad \text{for } \pm t \geq 0.$$

□

This implies, in particular,

$$|x(t)| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(R + \sqrt{\delta}|t|) \quad \text{for } \pm t \geq 0.$$

Once this estimate is established, following estimates are proved exactly same way as in the Euclidean space case (see, e.g., [5], [2]). We denote the solution to the Hamilton equation with the initial condition (y, η) by

$$x(t) = x(t, y, \eta), \quad \xi(t) = \xi(t, y, \eta).$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \Omega_{\pm}(I, R)$ and $x(t) = x(t, x_0, \xi_0)$, $\xi(t) = \xi(t, x_0, \xi_0)$. Then*

$$\xi_{\pm} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \xi(t)$$

exists. Moreover,

$$|\xi(t) - \xi_{\pm}| \leq C \langle t \rangle^{-\mu}, \quad \pm t \geq 0, \tag{3.2}$$

$$|x(t) - tv(\xi_{\pm})| \leq C \langle t \rangle^{1-\mu}, \quad \pm t \geq 0. \tag{3.3}$$

We note,

$$|x(t) - tv(\xi(t))| \leq C\langle t \rangle^{1-\mu}$$

is proved at first by the Hamilton equation, and combining this with (3.2) we obtain (3.3).

Proposition 3.3. *There is $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \xi(t, y, \eta) \right| \leq CR^{-1-\mu}, \quad \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \xi(t, y, \eta) \right| \leq CR^{-\mu}$$

for $R \geq R_0$, $(y, \eta) \in \Omega_{\pm}(I, R)$, $\pm t \geq 0$. Moreover, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, there is $C_{\alpha\beta} > 0$ such that

$$\left| \partial_y^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta (x(t, y, \eta) - y) \right| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} |t|, \quad \left| \partial_y^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta \xi(t, y, \eta) \right| \leq C_{\alpha\beta}$$

for any $(y, \eta) \in \Omega_{\pm}(I, R)$, $\pm t \geq 0$.

4 Construction of solutions to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

As before, we set $p(x, \xi) = \sum \cos(\xi_j) + V(x)$, and construct solutions to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi_{\pm}(t, \xi) = p(\partial_{\xi} \Phi_{\pm}(t, \xi), \xi), \quad \pm t \geq 0 \quad (4.1)$$

for $\xi \in \{\xi \mid p_0(\xi) \in I\}$, where $I \Subset (-d, d) \setminus \mathcal{T}$. We suppose $I + [-\delta, \delta] \subset (-d, d) \setminus \mathcal{T}$ with some $\delta > 0$.

The characteristic equations for (4.1) is

$$\xi' = -\nabla V(x), \quad x' = v(\xi), \quad u' = p(x, \xi) - x \cdot \nabla V(x),$$

where $x = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \Phi_{\pm}$ and $u = \Phi_{\pm}$ on the characteristic curves. The first two equations are the Hamilton equation, and we solve the equation with the initial condition:

$$u(0) = \pm R_1 p_0(\eta), \quad \xi(0) = \eta \in \mathbb{T}^d,$$

with sufficiently large $R_1 > 0$. Then

$$x(0) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi}(0) = \pm R_1 v(\eta),$$

and we choose R_1 so large that

$$R := R_1 \cdot \inf\{|v(\eta)| \mid p_0(\eta) \in I + [-\delta, \delta]\}$$

satisfies the condition of Proposition 3.1, and $CR^{-\mu} \ll 1$ in Proposition 3.3. We denote

$$\Lambda_t : \eta \mapsto \xi(t, \pm R_1 v(\eta), \eta), \quad \pm t \geq 0.$$

Then Λ_t is locally diffeomorphic, and the derivatives are uniformly bounded in t . If R_1 is sufficiently large, we can easily show that Λ_t^{-1} is well-defined on $\{\xi \mid p_0(\xi) \in I\}$, and the image is contained in $\{\xi \mid p_0(\xi) \in I + [-\delta, \delta]\}$. Thus the solution to (4.1) is given by

$$\Phi_{\pm}(t, \xi) = u \circ \Lambda_t^{-1}, \quad u(t, \eta) = R_1 p_0(\eta) + \int_0^t (p(x(s), \xi(s)) - x(s) \cdot \nabla V(x(s))) ds.$$

Moreover, by the construction, we have

$$\partial_{\xi} \Phi_{\pm}(t, \xi) = x(t, Rv(\eta), \eta), \quad \eta = \Lambda_t^{-1}(\xi).$$

Thus properties of $\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \Phi_{\pm}$, $\alpha \neq 0$, follow from properties of $x(t, y, \eta)$. In particular, we have

$$|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \Phi_{\pm}(t, \xi)| \leq C \langle t \rangle, \quad \pm t \geq 0, \quad \xi \in \{\xi \mid p_0(\xi) \in I\},$$

if $\alpha \neq 0$. Also, by the definition of u , we learn

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_{\pm}(t, \xi) - tp_0(\xi)| &\leq C \langle t \rangle^{1-\mu}, \quad \pm t \geq 0, \\ |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} (\partial_{\xi} \Phi_{\pm}(t, \xi) - tv(\xi))| &\leq C \langle t \rangle^{1-\mu}, \quad \pm t > 0, \end{aligned}$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$.

5 Existence of modified wave operators

Ler $\Phi_{\pm}(t, \xi)$, etc., be as in Section 3. We fix $I \Subset (-d, d) \setminus \mathcal{T}$. We show

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose $V \in S^{-\mu}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ with $\mu > 0$. Then the modified wave operators*

$$W_{\pm}^{\Phi}(I) = \text{s-lim}_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{itH} e^{-i\Phi_{\pm}(t, D_x)} E_I(H_0)$$

exists.

Generally, we follow the argument of Hörmander [5] to prove Theorem 5.1. We denote

$$D(I) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{T}^d \mid p_0(\xi) \in I, \cos(\xi_j) \neq 0, j = 1, \dots, d\}.$$

Then $C_0^{\infty}(D(I))$ is dense in $\text{Ran } E_I(H_0)$. Thus it suffices to show the existence of $W_{\pm}(I)\varphi$ for $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D(I))$. Moreover, by the partition of unity, we

may suppose φ is supported in an arbitrarily small neighborhood of a point $\xi_0 \in D(I)$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(t, x) &:= e^{-i\Phi_{\pm}(t, D_x)}\varphi(x) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{i(x \cdot \xi - \Phi_{\pm}(t, \xi))} \hat{\varphi}(\xi) d\xi\end{aligned}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, where $\hat{\varphi} = F\varphi$. We write

$$x \cdot \xi - \Phi_{\pm}(t, \xi) = t \left(\frac{x}{t} \cdot \xi - \frac{1}{t} \Phi_{\pm}(t, \xi) \right)$$

and consider t as the large parameter when we apply the stationary phase method. The stationary phase point is then given by

$$\frac{x}{t} = \frac{1}{t} \partial_{\xi} \Phi_{\pm}(t, \xi) = v(\xi) + O(\langle t \rangle^{-\mu}), \quad \pm t > 0.$$

From this, we also learn that the determinant of the Hessian of $\frac{1}{t} \Phi_{\pm}(t, \xi)$ is $\prod \cos(\xi_j) + O(\langle t \rangle^{-\mu})$, and hence its absolute value is uniformly bounded from below by a positive constant on the support of $\hat{\varphi}$ with large t . Also the derivatives of $\frac{1}{t} \Phi_{\pm}(t, \xi)$ in ξ are uniformly bounded in t on the support of $\hat{\varphi}$.

Let $D' \subset D(I)$ be a small neighborhood of $\text{supp } \hat{\varphi}$. We denote

$$G_t : \xi \mapsto \partial_{\xi} \Phi_{\pm}(t, \xi).$$

We may suppose G_t is diffeomorphis on D' , and we note $\text{vol}(G_t(D')) = O(\langle t \rangle^d)$ as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$.

By the non stationary phase method, we first learn

$$|\varphi(t, x)| \leq C_N \langle |x| + |t| \rangle^{-N} \quad \text{if } x \notin G_t(D'), \quad (5.1)$$

with any N . On the other hand, by the stationary phase method, we have

$$\varphi(t, x) = t^{-d/2} J(t, \eta) \hat{\varphi}(\eta) + O(\langle t \rangle^{-d/2-1}) \quad \text{for } x \in G_t(D'), \quad (5.2)$$

where $\eta = G_t^{-1}(x)$, $J(t, \eta)$ is a uniformly bounded function of t, η , depending only on $\Phi_{\pm}(t, \eta)$, $\partial_{\xi} \Phi_{\pm}(t, \eta)$ and $\partial_{\xi} \partial_{\xi} \Phi_{\pm}(t, \eta)$. In particular, $J(t, \eta)$ is independent of φ . (see, e.g., [6], §7.7).

Now we estimate

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(e^{itH} e^{-i\Phi_{\pm}(t, D_x)} \varphi \right) = i e^{itH} (H - \partial_t \Phi_{\pm}(t, D_x)) e^{-i\Phi_{\pm}(t, D_x)} \varphi$$

and apply the Cook-Kuroda method. We note, by (4.1), it suffices to show

$$\begin{aligned}& \int_0^{\pm\infty} \left\| (H - p(\partial_{\xi} \Phi_{\pm}(t, D_x), D_x)) e^{-i\Phi_{\pm}(t, D_x)} \varphi \right\| dt \\ &= \int_0^{\pm\infty} \left\| (V(x) - V(\partial_{\xi} \Phi_{\pm}(t, D_x))) e^{-i\Phi_{\pm}(t, D_x)} \varphi \right\| dt < \infty. \quad (5.3)\end{aligned}$$

By (5.1), it is easy to see

$$\int_0^{\pm\infty} \left\| \chi_{G_t(D')^c}(x) (V(x) - V(\partial_\xi \Phi_\pm(t, D_x))) \varphi(t, x) \right\| dt < \infty.$$

On the other hand, by (5.2), on $G_t(D')$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(x) \varphi(t, x) &= t^{-d/2} V(x) J(t, \eta) \hat{\varphi}(\eta) + O(\langle t \rangle^{-d/2-1-\mu}), \\ V(\partial_\xi \Phi_\pm(t, D_x)) \varphi(t, \xi) &= t^{-d/2} J(t, \xi) V(\partial_\xi \Phi_\pm(t, \eta)) \hat{\varphi}(\eta) + O(\langle t \rangle^{-d/2-1-\mu}), \end{aligned}$$

where $x = \partial_\xi \Phi_\pm(t, \eta)$, and the leading terms of these coincide. Thus we learn

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\pm\infty} \left\| \chi_{G_t(D')}(x) (V(x) - V(\partial_\xi \Phi_\pm(t, D_x))) \varphi(t, x) \right\| dt \\ & \leq \int_0^{\pm\infty} C \langle t \rangle^{-d/2-1-\mu} \left\| \chi_{G_t(D')}(\cdot) \right\| dt \leq C' \int_0^{\pm\infty} \langle t \rangle^{-1-\mu} dt < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these, we conclude (5.3), and completes the proof. $\square$

Now Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 5.1 and standard argument of the scattering theory.

Remark 1. We note that the choice of the extension $V(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is not unique, and hence $\Phi_\pm(t, \xi)$ are not unique either. The modified wave operators $W_\pm^\Phi$ depend on such construction, but in general, they are equivalent up to multiplication by unitary Fourier multipliers from the right. Actually, if

$$W_\pm^\Phi = \text{s-lim}_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{itH} e^{-i\Phi_\pm(t, D_x)} \quad \text{and} \quad W_\pm^\Psi = \text{s-lim}_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{itH} e^{-i\Psi_\pm(t, D_x)}$$

exist, then the limit

$$G_\pm = \text{s-lim}_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{i\Psi_\pm(t, D_x)} e^{-i\Phi_\pm(t, D_x)}$$

exist. $G_\pm$ are obviously unitary Fourier multipliers, and $W_\pm^\Phi = W_\pm^\Psi G_\pm$.

Remark 2. If $V \in S^{-\mu}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ with $\mu > 1/2$, then we may employ a simpler approximate solution to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation.

$$U_D(t) = e^{-i\Phi^D(t, D_x)}, \quad \Phi^D(t, \xi) = tp_0(\xi) + \int_0^t V(sv(\xi)) ds,$$

is called the Dollard-type modifier, and we can show the existence of the modified wave operators similarly (see, e.g., [9] §XI.9, [3] §4.9).

References

- [1] A. Boutet de Monvel, J. Sahbani : On the spectral properties of discrete Schrödinger operators: (The multi-dimensional case). *Rev. Math. Phys.* **11** (1999), 1061–1078.
- [2] W. Craig, T. Kappeler, W. Strauss: Microlocal dispersive smoothing for Schrödinger equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **48** 769–860.
- [3] J. Dereziński, C. Gérard: *Scattering Theory of Classical and Quantum N -Particle Systems*. Springer Verlag, 1997.
- [4] J. Dollard : Asymptotic convergence and Coulomb interaction. *J. Math. Phys.* **5** (1964), 729–738.
- [5] L. Hörmander: The Existence of Wave Operators in Scattering Theory. *Math. Zeitschrift* **146** (1976), 69–91.
- [6] L. Hörmander : *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators*. Vol. I. 2nd Ed., Springer Verlag, 1990.
- [7] H. Isozaki, I. Korotyaev: Inverse Problems, Trace Formulae for Discrete Schrödinger Operators. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **13** (2012), 751–788.
- [8] A. Oppenheim, R. Schaffer, J. Buck : *Discrete-Time Signal Processing*, Second Ed., Prentice Hall, 1999.
- [9] M. Reed, B. Simon: *The Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics*, Vol. III *Scattering Theory*. Academic Press, 1979.
- [10] D. Yafaev: *Mathematical Scattering Theory. Analytic Theory*. American Math. Soc. 2009.